

(b) No, Sir.

(c) Does not arise.

**Request of State Government to withdraw IMDT Act, 1983**

†1875. SHRI BRATIN SENGUPTA: Will the Minister of HOME AFFAIRS be pleased to state:

(a) whether certain State Governments have requested the Central Government to withdraw the Illegal Migrants (Determination by Tribunals) Act, 1983 which is in force in the concerned States;

(b) if so, the details thereof; and

(c) the Government's reaction thereto?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS (SHRI I.D. SWAMI): (a) The Illegal Migrants (Determination by Tribunals) Act, 1983 (IMDT Act, 1983) is presently in force in the States of Assam only. The previous Government of Assam had urged the Central Government to repeal the IMDT Act, 1983. However, the present Government of Assam is not in favour of withdrawing the IMDT Act, 1983.

(b) and (c) In view of the (a) above, do not arise.

**Cruel murder of Dalits in U.P.**

†1876. SHRI BALKAVI BAIRAGI:

SHRI K. RAHMAN KHAN:

Will the Minister of HOME AFFAIRS be pleased to state:

(a) the criminal elements responsible for conspiracy behind cruel murders of Dalits in Uttar Pradesh;

(b) the number of Dalits who became victims of such incidents in the last six months alongwith the details thereof, State-wise; and

---

†Original notice of the Question was received in Hindi.

[8 August, 2001]

## RAJYA SABHA

(c) the action taken by Government against such criminal elements and the number of persons who have been punished, the State-wise details thereof?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS (SHRI I.D. SWAMI): (a) As per the information received from the Government of Uttar Pradesh there is no criminal conspiracy behind the cruel murders of Dalits in Uttar Pradesh. The incidents in Fatehpur and Aligarh in June, 2001 were the result of individual disputes.

(b) The State-wise details of the incidence of murder of members of the Scheduled Castes/Scheduled Tribes during the year 2001, as received from NCRB are given in the statement. (See below)

(c) The State-wise details of accused persons punished for such offences are not maintained centrally by the NCRB. 'Police' and 'Public Order' being State subjects, action against the accused is taken by the State Government under relevant laws.

### Statement

*Incidence of murder committed against scheduled castes/scheduled tribes by non-scheduled castes and scheduled tribes during 2001 (upto available months)*

Sl. No.	State/UT	SC	ST	Fig are upto the month of
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
1.	ANDHRA PRADESH	7	2	Apr.
2.	ARUNACHAL PRADESH	0	0	Feb.
3.	ASSAM	0	0	May
4.	BIHAR	1	0	Mar.
5.	GOA	0	0	May
6.	GUJARAT	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.
7.	HARYANA	4	0	Apr.
8.	HIMACHAL PRADESH	0	0	May
9.	JAMMU & KASHMIR	0	0	Apr.
10.	KARNATAKA	11	0	May
11.	KERALA	3	1	May

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
12.	MADHYA PRADESH	25	15	May (Feb.)
13.	MAHARASHTRA	5	3	June
14.	MANIPUR	0	0	May
15.	MEGHALAYA	0	0	May
16.	MIZORAM	0	0	June
17.	NAGALAND	0	0	May
18.	ORISSA	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.
19.	PUNJAB	1	0	May
20.	RAJASTHAN	7	1	Feb.
21.	SIKKIM	0	0	Feb.
22.	TAMIL NADU	6	0	Apr.
23.	TRIPURA	0	0	May(Mar.)
24.	UTTAR PRADESH	69	1	Mar.
25.	WEST BENGAL	0	0	Apr.
TOTAL (STATES)		139	23	
26.	A & N ISLANDS	0	0	June
27.	CHANDIGARH	0	0	Apr.
28.	D & N HAVELI	0	0	June
29.	DAMAN & DIU	0	0	May (Apr.)
30.	DELHI	0	0	Apr.
31.	LAKSHADWEEP	0	0	June(Mar., Apr.)
32.	PONDICHERRY	0	0	June
TOTAL (UTs)		0	0	
TOTAL (ALL INDIA)		139	23	

**SOURCE: MONTHLY CRIME STATISTICS.**

**Note:** Figures are provisional, Bracketed Month name indicates non availability of data for that month.